

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/11
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ):

State:

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received:

PJ's Size Grouping*:

PJ Since (FY):

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| | | | PJs in State: | 93 | | | |
| Program Progress: | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 96.25 % | 92.37 % | 8 | 92.63 % | 80 | 79 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 88.37 % | 86.15 % | 26 | 86.67 % | 54 | 56 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 15.37 | 5.74 | 1 | 5.03 | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 91.34 % | 86.19 % | 48 | 88.14 % | 28 | 28 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 76.82 % | 74.83 % | 35 | 76.19 % | 47 | 45 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 95.10 % | 82.01 % | 15 | 81.48 % | 89 | 85 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 33.05 % | 41.14 % | 59 | 45.62 % | 28 | 28 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 96.55 % | 1 | 96.17 % | 100 | 100 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 32 / 93 | Nationally: | 55 59 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$33,955 | \$38,718 | | \$28,750 | 714 Units | 24.50 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$23,062 | \$22,136 | | \$15,714 | 1,069 Units | 36.70 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$0 | \$27,679 | | \$21,140 | 0 Units | 0.00 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$517 | \$2,683 | | \$3,230 | 1,128 Units | 38.70 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (59 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (216 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (287 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Riverside County CA

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$143,081 | \$110,812 | \$0 |
| State:* | \$144,444 | \$118,074 | \$29,157 |
| National:** | \$103,194 | \$78,960 | \$24,147 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.0 %
National Avg: 1.2 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 1.05

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 38.7 | 13.5 | 0.0 | 35.6 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 22.0 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 31.6 |
| Black/African American: | 10.4 | 3.3 | 0.0 | 33.3 | Elderly: | 22.1 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 11.3 |
| Asian: | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | Related/Single Parent: | 28.3 | 20.9 | 0.0 | 35.6 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.6 | Related/Two Parent: | 22.7 | 64.8 | 0.0 | 15.8 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 4.9 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 5.6 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | | | | | |
| Hispanic | 47.9 | 81.7 | 0.0 | 29.4 | | | | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| 1 Person: | 37.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 39.0 | Section 8: | 9.7 | 0.1 [#] | | |
| 2 Persons: | 14.0 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 14.7 | HOME TBRA: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 18.5 | 17.2 | 0.0 | 12.4 | Other: | 1.5 | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 16.0 | 25.8 | 0.0 | 13.0 | No Assistance: | 88.8 | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 7.3 | 22.5 | 0.0 | 9.0 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 5.3 | 11.1 | 0.0 | 7.3 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 1.1 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 3.4 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.8 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.1 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 207 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



— HOME PROGRAM —
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS
 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Riverside County State: CA Group Rank: 55
 (Percentile)
 State Rank: 32 / 93 PJs Overall Rank: 59
 (Percentile)
 Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|--------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 85.50% | 91.34 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 60.40% | 76.82 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 95.1 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 92.15% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 2.980 | 2.05 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2006 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

